UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,904	12/10/2004	Jianhua Feng	1-32526A/FMI	4693
1095 NOVARTIS	7590 11/12/200	8	EXAMINER	
CORPORATE : ONE HEALTH	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY	OPERTY	MACAULEY, SHERIDAN R	
=	ER, NJ 07936-1080	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,904	FENG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SHERIDAN R. MACAULEY	1651	

-The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponding and address - THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. In The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely life one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other revietnoce, which places have considered the properties of the following time of the following time periods: The period for reply exprises on. (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set to this thin in the following time of the home over the however, will be statistically period for reply exprise that replaces on the following time one over the however, will be statistically period for reply exprise that replaces on the following time one over the however, will be statistically period for replaces and the statistical properties of the file of the fil			SHERIDAN R. MACAULEY	1651	
 1. ■ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandomment of this application, applicant must itsely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidative, or other evidence, with places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31: or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	The I	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
 1. ■ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandomment of this application, applicant must interly file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidaty, or other evidence, wich places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following replication:	THE REPLY FILE	ED 09 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
b)	 The reply ware application, application for Continue 	as filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on applicant must timely file one of the following in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the .The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). MOTICE OF APPEAL 2. A The Notice of Appeal was filed on 0.9 October 2008. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4	a) The per b) The peri no even Examine	od for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A t, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la er Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereot (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 8. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	have been filed is th under 37 CFR 1.17 set forth in (b) abov may reduce any eal	ne date for purposes of determining the period of ex (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the se, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
(a)	the date of appeal. Sin	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)),	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	(a)⊠ They (b)□ They (c)□ They	raise new issues that would require further co- raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo- are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOī w);	ΓE below);	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	(d)☐ They NOT	present additional claims without canceling a E: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10-22. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. //Ruth A. Davis/	5. 🔲 Applicant's	reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,5,7 and 8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10-22. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). //Ruth A. Davis/	non-allowak	ole claim(s).		•	_
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). Ruth A. Davis/	how the new The status of Claim(s) all Claim(s) ob Claim(s) rej Claim(s) with	w or amended claims would be rejected is proved the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: owed: jected to: ected: 1,3,5,7 and 8. chdrawn from consideration: 10-22.		i be entered and an e.	kplanation of
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	_				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	because ap	plicant failed to provide a showing of good and			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet. Ruth A. Davis/	entered bed	cause the affidavit or other evidence failed to c	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet. /Ruth A. Davis/ 		•	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> /Ruth A. Davis/	11. 🛛 The reque	st for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
			(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
				nit 1651	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered, but they have not been found to be persuasive. For instance, regarding the rejection under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsuzaki when taken in view of Toker, applicant argues that it is unclear how the cited references anticipate the following claims. However, this information is set forth in the previously mailed office action and is as follows: Matsuzaki teaches a purified kinase. Toker teaches that the PBK/Akt has PKB Ser 473 kinase activity when associated with cellular proteins. Matsuzaki therefore anticipates the composition of the cited claims and Toker is relied upon for its teaching of the inherent PKB Ser 473 kinase activity. Further, although the references may not teach the apparent molecular weight of the protein, it is argued in the previous office action that the composition of Matsuzaki appears to be identical to the claimed composition and thus would inherently possess the claimed molecular weight. Furthermore, the molecular weight as recited in the examined claims is unclear; as noted in the previous action, it is unclear whether applicant is claiming that the composition comprises a protein which has (a) PKB Ser 473 kinase activity and an apparent molecular weight of 450-650 when it is associated with cellular proteins; or (b) PKB Ser 473 kinase activity when associated with cellular proteins, and an apparent molecular weight of 450-650 kDa. Thus, the association with other proteins to arrive at the claimed apparent molecular weight would inherently occur in vivo; a complexed protein is not required by the claim. Also, although applicant argues that the evidence in the prior art to demonstrates that the cited protein does not possess the claimed characteristics, it is noted that the claim recites a composition comprising a protein that may be associated with other proteins, and possessing a specific characteristic. Therefore, even if it is unclear which protein in the Matsuzaki reference possesses the claimed characteristic, the composition as a whole does possess the characteristic, and thus it meets the limitation of the claim. Therefore, applicant's arguments have not overcome the rejection.

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed amendments will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. For instance, the limitation "cell-free" and limitation wherein the claim recites a composition comprising a complexed protein were not recited in the previously examined claims. These new limitations would necessitate an thorough examination of the cited prior art as related to the claims and as well as a new search.